A Critical Assessment of Sustainable Energy Choices for the United States

Facilitators: Derek Elsworth: elsworth@psu.edu; 231 Hosler Bldg; 865-2225
Semih Eser: seser@psu.edu; 114A Hosler Building; 863-1392

Location: 25 Deike, 8.00 – 9.15, TuTh
Plus additional group meetings to be arranged by teams

Credits: 5

Objective: The principal objective of this problem-based course is to facilitate active and cooperative, or collaborative, learning to solve a contemporary problem in energy and/or the environment. This will be completed via literature search, critical literature review, concept mapping, road mapping, and written and oral presentations of results. The development of critical thinking and problem solving skills as a team in academic and industrial settings is the major focus of the course.

Rationale: This problem-based class will allow students to connect basic concepts and principles assimilated during prior classes to solve an industrial problem of societal significance. Students will work collaboratively as a team to finely prescribe the problem, to gather resources, and to synthesize a solution.

Assignments: Students will collaborate in a team and take charge of the learning process as they attempt to solve the assigned problem. Importantly, they should identify and fully utilize the diverse knowledge present within the group – this will identify the key knowledge bases and learning needs required for the re-formulation and solution of a given problem. Students may use concept mapping to formulate the learning issues and map out the relationships between different concepts and principles to solve the assigned problems. Road mapping will be used to develop a strategy and a timeline for problem solution. Students will make a critical review of the relevant literature to assess/reassess the proposed concept and road maps. The results of the literature review and analytical work will be conveyed in written reports and oral presentations throughout the semester.

Focus: A Critical Assessment of Sustainable Energy Choices for the United States

Background: The global dependence on fossil fuels is depleting finite reserves and charging the atmosphere with elevated levels of CO₂. The diminishing supply polarizes issues of energy security, while anthropogenic emissions
are linked to growing evidence to climate change. Both energy supply and
the influence on environment question the sustainability of current energy
portfolios defined by choice, by economics and by policy.

Conversely, renewable energy resources are attracting increasing attention
worldwide because they do not deplete non-renewable energy sources and
help to reduce greenhouse gas emission. In fact, numerous studies have
been, and continue to be, carried out on the use of renewable energy
resources for the sustainable energy development, and global carbon
management related to climate change. There are many publications and
reports available. This is a real-world, open-ended problem to which there
may be different approaches and different view-points as well as
controversial issues.

Literature review is an important part of this assignment in the initial
stage, but a literature review (a written summary of literature) should be a
critical survey and analysis, not a repetitive summary of what is already
described in literature.

Critical thinking/analysis, creative (“out-of-the-box”) thinking/solution,
and cooperative team work are expected from each team member.

**Tasks:**

The central theme is energy utilization for sustainable development.
Student participants will appreciate the global concerns of rapidly
depleting fossil energy resources, increasing atmospheric CO₂
concentrations, and the role of the recovery and utilization of renewable
energy resources in contributing to the sustainable energy development.

To define an appropriate scale for a design case, the participants will
select regions within the country which, when viewed together, must cover
the entire contiguous United States. These regions will be evaluated for a
quantitative evaluation of energy demand, supply, utilization, and
associated byproducts. The analysis will include a defensible analysis of
the various costs of current energy supply, and a full analysis of feasible
changed in the portfolio to meet defined goals.

To achieve this, participants will understand the basic issues of energy
utilization, availability, and growth potential along with basic chemistry
and physics of renewable and non-renewable energy utilization that leads
to meeting the increased energy demand while reducing the emissions of
pollutants as well as CO₂ and other greenhouse gases.

They will identify the key societal and technical issues, identify and
compare the current and potential future options, and evaluate their
feasibility, and recommend the more environment-friendly and energy-
efficient methods. Complete solutions must include a synthesis of
methods. A quantitative approach, including thermodynamic, kinetic and
mechanistic analysis of proposed options must be considered, together with a preliminary economic analysis.

**Teams:** Students will be grouped into 3 collaborative teams to represent selected regions within the country. These geographic regions are nominally the western US (west of Lat:104W – AK, WA, OR, CA, ID, NV, ID, MT, WY, UT, CO, AZ, NM), the mid-western US (Lat:104W-Mississippi and Ohio Rivers – ND, SD, NB, KS, OK, TX, LA, AK, MO, IA, MN, WS, IL, IA, MI, OH) and Appalachia and the eastern US (MS, AL, FL, GA, SC, NC, TN, KT, WV, VA, MD, PA, NJ, NY, CT, MA, VM, NH, RI, ME).

Each team will develop a defensible energy portfolio for the selected region which will prescribe an appropriate energy policy for the country.

**Involvement:** Students will collaborate in a team and take charge of the learning process as they attempt to solve the assigned problems. Importantly, they should identify and fully utilize the diverse knowledge within the group – this will identify the key knowledge bases and learning needs required for the re-formulation and solution of a given problem. Students may use concept mapping to formulate the learning issues and map out the relationships between different concepts and principles to solve the assigned problems. Road mapping will be used to develop a strategy and a time line for problem solution. Students will make a critical review of the relevant literature to assess/reassess the proposed concept and road maps. The results of the literature review and experimental or computational work will be conveyed in written reports and oral presentations throughout the semester.
Grading

Your course grade will depend on three components:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Team work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Individual presentations and final exam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Peer evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The factors contributing to grades in these assigned distributions include:

1. **Team work (30%)**. This grade will be determined from and assessment of critical and creative thinking, problem solving skills, and clarity and integrity of reports and presentations.
   a. 15% Identification of learning issues (or Concept Map).
   b. 15% Road Map
   c. 20% Literature Review
   d. 15% Progress Reports
   e. 10% Oral Presentation
   f. 25% Final Report

2. **Individual presentations and final exam (50%)**. This grade will be determined from individual presentations and participations in discussions throughout the semester and a final oral exam on the whole problem.

   The following will be considered as equally important in assigning the grades for individual presentations (20%) and participations in discussions (10%).
   a. Active involvement in the learning and problem solving process
   b. Understanding of the problem and the related background issues,
   c. Critical and creative thinking,
   d. Problem solving skills and cooperation with other team members, and
   e. Clarity and integrity of presentations (progress and final).

   For the final oral exam (20%), each student will give a 20-minute presentation of the final report and be questioned on the important learning issues for the whole problem.

3. **Peer evaluation (20%)**. This grade will be determined from confidential assessments (grades) from your team members. Each student will assign an individual confidential grade to each of her/his team members to evaluate their contribution to the learning issues, including work load, leadership, resourcefulness, creativity, and peer teaching effort. The criteria for the grade for peer evaluation will be discussed in the first class meeting. The overall peer evaluation grade for each student will be calculated as the mean of the assigned grades after discarding the top and bottom grades.
Course Schedule and Assignments - Tentative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Week</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1 (end)</td>
<td>Introduction of the problem and discussion of course procedures and logistics for problem-based learning; Teaming assignments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (beg)</td>
<td>Presentation and discussion of individual and team concept maps (or any other format used for key issues) for defining the learning issues; student responses to assigned reading materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (end)</td>
<td>Student presentation and discussion of learning issues for solving the problem, and individual and team re-statements (written) of the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (end)</td>
<td>Student presentation and discussion of individual and team work plan (or road maps) for solving the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Presentation of a critical literature review and reassessment of the proposed road map for solving the problem; finalize the team road maps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Presentation of progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Presentation of progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Submission of the literature survey and submission and presentation of progress report and a comprehensive plan for future work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Feedback from faculty facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Revision and modification of critical literature review. Presentation of critical-path plan for recovery-through-utilization and identification of final work-plan activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Presentation of progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Presentation of progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Presentation of progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Presentation of progress and submission of final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Final Oral Examination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Initiating Resources

Past Projects: [http://www.ems.psu.edu/~elsworth/courses/egee580/index.html](http://www.ems.psu.edu/~elsworth/courses/egee580/index.html)


Web Resources About Problem-Based Learning:

- University of Delaware PBL: http://www.udel.edu/pbl/
- Concept Mapping Program: http://cmap.ihmc.us/

Academic Integrity

Following University Policy 49-20, students are expected to maintain a high degree of academic integrity throughout all the course. Accordingly, activities such as cheating, plagiarism, facilitating dishonesty to others, etc., will not be tolerated. This course adopts the College's academic integrity policy. For more information, please check http://www.ems.psu.edu/students/integrity/index.html
PEER EVALUATION FORM

Please rate yourself and your team members on the relative contributions that were made in solving the problem and preparing and submitting your group reports. Your ratings will not be disclosed to other students. Be honest in this evaluation!

In rating yourself and your peers, use a one to five point scale, where 5 = Superior; 4 = Above Average; 3 = Average; 2 = below average; and 1 = weak.

Insert your name in the first column and your peers’ names in the remaining spaces. (One name at the top of each column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participated in group meetings or discussions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped keep the group focused on the task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributed useful ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity of work done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of work done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter total scores here</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>