A two-stage step-wise framework for fast optimization of well placement in coalbed methane reservoirs
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ABSTRACT

Coalbed methane (CBM) has emerged as a clean energy resource in the global energy mix, especially in countries such as Australia, China, India and the USA. The economical and successful development of CBM requires a thorough evaluation and optimization of well placement prior to field-scale exploitation. This paper presents a two-stage, step-wise optimization framework to obtain the optimal placement of wells for large-scale development of CBM reservoirs. In the first stage, an optimal uniform well pattern is obtained by optimizing well pattern description parameters with the particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm. Subsequently, the location and status (active/inactive) of each well are perturbed and optimized within the patterns through the integration of the generalized pattern search (GPS) algorithm and a quality map (QM) representing the production potential. This framework was tested in a synthetic anthracite CBM reservoir in the Qinshui basin (with high gas content and low permeability) and a real field high volatile bituminous reservoir in the Illinois basin (with low gas content and high permeability). The results show that: (i) significant variations in the net present value (NPV) exist with respect to different uniform well patterns (even for cases where the total number of wells are identical), the optima of which can be efficiently determined by the PSO within 100 numerical simulation runs; (ii) the optimization of well perturbations by the GPS results in a more noticeable improvement in NPVs for the synthetic (12.3%) than for the real field model (4.6%); (iii) for the low permeable synthetic model with narrow optimal well spacings (320 m × 200 m), the contribution of the optimization of well perturbation to the NPV increment is heavily dependent on the uniform well placement solution; (iv) for the high permeable real field model with large optimal well spacings (1300 m × 1300 m), the initial uniform well placement has a very minor effect on the subsequent well perturbation solutions in terms of NPV; (v) the proposed framework significantly outperforms the conventional well-by-well concatenation procedure in terms of computational efficiency, robustness and optimal criteria set for production potential.

1. Introduction

Development of coalbed methane (CBM) as an energy resource offers subsidiary benefits, such as improving underground coal mining safety and reducing methane emission to the atmosphere (Karacan et al., 2011). However, CBM drainage, especially from low-permeability coal seams, usually has low recovery efficiency. Therefore, special well completions and production mechanisms are needed to achieve commercial gas rates (Ozkan and Clarkson, 2012). Although enhancement techniques, such as the multi-lateral horizontal drillings (Keim et al., 2011) and CO\textsubscript{2} enhanced CBM (CO\textsubscript{2}-ECBM) (Ross et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2013) can be utilized to increase the CBM production, further field tests are needed to validate their technical and economic feasibility (Moore, 2012). For example, multi-lateral horizontal wells may have the high risk of being collapsed that significantly reduces gas production and hence the economics (Keim et al., 2011; Ren et al., 2014). As such, the vertical wells stimulated with hydraulic fractures (Badri et al., 2000) still act as a primary and most reliable means for...
large-scale field development of CBM resources especially for low-permeability coal seams (Palmer, 2010).

Previous studies (Feng et al., 2012; Salmachi et al., 2013, 2014) suggest that the economics of a CBM development project using vertical wells is highly dependent on the well locations. Numerous investigations document the determination of optimal well placement in CBM reservoirs based on analytical models or numerical simulations. Clarkson and McGovern (2005) developed an approach to optimize CBM exploration and development strategies through the integration of the Monte Carlo simulation, reservoir simulation and economics. Xu et al. (2013) derived an analytical mathematical model based on the concept of “balanced depressurization” to optimize well pattern and spacing for hydraulically fractured wells in anisotropic CBM reservoirs. Zuber et al. (1990) studied the gas production and economic prospects of various well-spacing and hydraulic-fracture scenarios through numerical simulation and found that permeability and achievable hydraulic-fracture dimensions are the key parameters for the optimization of well spacing. The work by Wicks et al. (1986), Young et al. (1992), and Bumb and McKee (1984) led to the common conclusion that pressure interference exerts favorable effects on gas production and drilling more wells can enhance the ultimate recovery factor. It should be noted, however, that the drainage efficiency of uniform well patterns—such as five-spot and rectangular patterns may be questionable when applied in CBM reservoirs that exhibit strong heterogeneities (Cai et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2011; Pushin, 2010; Yao et al., 2013). Besides, the consideration of optimal well spacing must be made based on an economic decision (Zulkarnain, 2005).

During the past decade, a number of investigations have been reported on the use of numerical simulation integrated with statistical analysis or intelligent optimization algorithms to determine optimal well placement in underground hydrocarbon reservoirs (Bangerth et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2018, 2019; Forouzannal and Reynolds, 2014; Humphries and Haynes, 2015; Humphries et al., 2014; Isebor et al., 2014; Jesmani et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016, 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). Feng et al. (2012) and Salmachi et al. (2013) optimized the location of vertical wells in CBM reservoirs using numerical simulation assisted by the particle swarm optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithm (GA), respectively. Salmachi et al. (2014) combined numerical simulation with detection theory (decision trees) to find the potential optimal locations of infilling wells in the development of a synthetic CBM reservoir. A distinguished advantage of using optimization algorithms is that a broader set of scenarios can be systematically explored in order to find optimal solutions for some given conditions (Bangerth et al., 2006). However, for large-scale multi-well field development, optimization can be particularly challenging due to a large number of variables and an extensive search space (Zhang et al., 2014). An increment in the search dimensions (number of optimization variables) tends to increase the risk of getting trapped in local optima during the optimization process, rather than finding the global optimum. Consequently, it is important to construct an efficient and robust method to deliver a set of nearly optimal solutions (Bangerth et al., 2006). Unfortunately, few efforts have been made to reach such optimal solutions with respect to the development of CBM reservoirs.

This paper presents a step-wise optimization framework for fast determination of the optimal well placement for the large-scale development of CBM resources. The proposed framework was applied in both a synthetic and a real CBM reservoir, whose performance was compared with the conventional well-by-well concatenation method.

2. Methodology

In this study, the optimal placement of wells is obtained by a step-wise procedure consisting of two stages (Fig. 1). In the first stage, the well pattern and spacings are optimized to determine an optimal uniform layout of wells. Once the optimal well pattern and spacings are determined, the location of each well can be identified, which provides the initial solution to the second step. In the second step, the location of each well is perturbed and optimized based on a quality map (QM) that considers the boundary constraint defined by the well spacings in the previous step.

2.1. Uniform well placement and well perturbation

2.1.1. Description of uniform well placement (step 1)

Two typical well patterns, namely rectangular and five-spot patterns are commonly used for primary drainage of CBM (Tang and Li, 2013). For both well patterns, the layout of wells is designed along two orthogonal directions following principal permeabilities, which can also be proxied as parallel to the face and butt cleat directions (which are represented as X- and Y-directions, respectively in Fig. 1). In this study, we use three variables to represent the layout of wells, \((s_x, s_y, Index)\), where \(s_x, s_y\) specify well spacings along the X- and Y-directions, respectively, and \(Index\) denotes the well pattern. Each well is assumed to be placed at the center of a grid block, which is a general default setting method in numerical reservoir simulations. It is noted that for a reservoir model consisting of uniform grid blocks, well spacings \(s_x\) and \(s_y\) should always be a multiple of the grid block dimensional length of \(d_x\) and \(d_y\), respectively. For a given uniform well pattern description vector of \((s_x, s_y, Index)\), the well locations (coordinates in terms of grid block numbers along X- and Y-directions) can be determined as follows.

2.1.1.1. Calculate the maximum number of wells that can be accommodated within the reservoir. Under the assumption that each well is placed at the center of a grid block, the maximum rows of wells that can be placed along the X- \((n_x,_{max})\) and Y- \((n_y,_{max})\) directions within the reservoir can be identified as:

\[
\begin{align*}
 n_{x,\text{max}} &= \text{floor} \left[ \frac{d_x (N_x - 1)}{s_x} \right] + 1 \\
 n_{y,\text{max}} &= \text{floor} \left[ \frac{d_y (N_y - 1)}{s_y} \right] + 1
\end{align*}
\]

where \(N_x\) and \(N_y\) are the number of grid blocks along the X- and Y-directions, respectively; \(\text{floor}[]\) denotes the maximum integer that is not higher than the argument “\(^{}\)” in the brackets.

2.1.1.2. Determine the locations of \(n_{x,\text{max}} \times n_{y,\text{max}}\) wells. Once the number of wells is determined, these wells can then be uniformly deployed across the reservoir plane subject to the well pattern description vector.

For a rectangular well pattern, the location \((\lambda, \eta)\) of a well in the ith column and jth row, represented with grid block coordinates, can be calculated straightforwardly by considering the well layout and reservoir geometry (see Fig. 2a for details):

\[
\begin{align*}
 \lambda &= \text{cell} \left\{ 0.5[N_x d_x - (n_{x,\text{max}} - 1)s_x] + s_x (i - 1) \right\} \\
 \eta &= \text{cell} \left\{ 0.5[N_y d_y - (n_{y,\text{max}} - 1)s_y] + s_y (j - 1) \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\text{cell}[]\) denotes the minimum integer that is higher than the argument “\(^{}\)” in the brackets.

For the five-spot well pattern, wells in odd numbered rows are assigned to be placed the same as in the rectangular well pattern and therefore the locations can be determined using Eqs. 3 and 4. Compared with the rectangular well pattern, the five-spot pattern has one less well in the even numbered rows (Fig. 2). Again, combining the well layout and reservoir geometry, one can obtain the coordinate \((\lambda, \eta)\) for wells at the even numbered rows, which can be written as (see Fig. 2b for details):
It should be noted that for a multiple well placement problem, all wells being shifted to the grid block with their respective highest IOP, does not guarantee a global optimum. This is because there is the possibility that wells may be moved to their respective tributary grid blocks that are i) within a narrow distance to other wells or ii) close to boundaries (Fig. 3). To resolve such contradiction, we assign a threshold number of grid blocks with high IOPs for each well as the potential optimal candidates. Each potential candidate has an equal probability to be chosen as the optimal solution, whereas the other grid blocks with lower IOPs will never be selected. In this regard, the perturbation vector can be written as:

$$x' = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_N \end{bmatrix}$$

(8)

where \(\theta_i\) denotes the numbering of a grid block sorted in a descending order of IOP for the \(i^{th}\) well. For example, if we assign a number of \(N\) grid blocks that are ready to be selected as the candidate perturbed position, \(\theta_i = 1\) represents the grid block with the highest IOP while \(\theta_i = N\) represents the grid block with the lowest IOP for the \(i^{th}\) well. \(\theta_i = 0\) represents that the well remains in its original location in the uniform well pattern and no perturbation occurs.

In addition to well position perturbations, the perturbation of well status (inactive or active) can also be optimized in order to further improve the NPV (Onwunalu, 2010) by eliminating redundant wells. This strategy should benefit heterogeneous reservoirs where wells in some local regions are associated with extreme low producibility. In this study, the perturbation of well status can be easily incorporated by extending \(\theta\) to a negative value. For the \(i^{th}\) well, \(\theta_i < 0\) represents that this well is inactive, i.e., this well is removed from the reservoir model.

As such, the optimization of well perturbation is transformed to a combinational optimization problem, i.e., we target to optimize the selection of candidate grid block and inactive/active status for each well so that the combination of all the selections for all wells results in an optimal solution.
2.2. Optimization algorithms

To date, numerous optimization algorithms have been applied for solving well placement problems, which generally fall into two categories: gradient-based and derivative-free methods (Bangerth et al., 2006). The solution surface to a well placement problem can be extremely rough and discontinuous gradients may exist. Additionally, gradient-based methods are more likely to be trapped in local optima compared with derivative-free algorithms because well placement problems are usually nonconvex and may contain multiple local optima (Wang et al., 2016). As a comparison, the derivative-free methods do not require the gradient information but only need the objective function value as a guide for their evolution. Such merit makes derivative-free methods more efficient in solving problems such as the optimization of well placement for the development of hydrocarbon reservoirs.

In this paper, two derivative-free algorithms were used for solving the proposed two-stage well placement optimization problem namely particle swarm optimization (PSO) and generalized pattern search (GPS). Numerous studies (e.g., Ding et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Onwunalu and Durlofsky, 2010) have demonstrated the robustness of these two algorithms in solving oilfield optimization problems in terms of convergence speed and accuracy. PSO is a stochastic global search method that is especially suitable for optimization problems without prior knowledge of a near-optimal solution, while GPS is quite efficient when a high-quality initial guess of the optima is available. Onwunalu and Durlofsky (2011) show that well perturbations help improve the
2.3. Objective function

In this study, net present value (NPV) is used as the objective function. NPV is a measure of profitability - that is the present value of cash flows discounted at an average annual discount rate, $i_0$, in excess of the present value of the investment (Luo et al., 2011). The basic capital costs for a CBM well include outlays for land, permits, drilling, completion, infrastructure (water handling facilities, electric power and electrical cable, gas gathering and transmission facilities, etc.), well maintenance, and water management (ARI, 2002; Bank and Kuuskraa, 2006). For practical consideration, the capital costs are reduced to three parts: well construction, water disposal cost and well operating expense. Construction of wells is assumed to be completed in the first year for all drilling scenarios. The NPV can be calculated as:

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[ \frac{Q_w P_w (1 - R_t) - Q_w C_m - C_m N_t}{1 + i_0} \right] - N_w C_d$$

where $Q_w$ and $Q_m$ are annual production of gas and water (m$^3$), respectively; $P_w$ is wellhead gas price ($/m^3$); $C_m$ is cost of treatment and disposal of produced water ($/m^3$); $T$ is the producing lifetime of the target area (year); $C_d$ is the cost of well construction ($/well$); $i_0$ is the cost of operating expense per well per year ($$); $R_t$ is tax rate on the produced gas; $i_0$ is the annual discount rate (%); and $N$ is the total number of wells drilled.

2.4. Numerical reservoir simulator

Production profiles for each scenario of well placement are generated by conducting reservoir simulation using CMG’s GEM simulator. The GEM is a three-dimensional compositional simulator that is capable of simulating the sorption, diffusion and flow phenomena of CBM transport in coal and it is widely used in CBM reservoir and production engineering studies (Karacan and Olen, 2015; Karacan et al., 2014; Salmachi and Karacan, 2017). Details of the mathematical models regarding the CBM module in GEM can be found in GEM (2015), which are therefore not repeated in this paper.

2.5. Optimization procedure

The general workflow of well spacing and placement optimization is illustrated in Fig. 4 and described briefly as follows.

1) Randomly initialize well pattern indices and spacings.
2) Calculate the location of each well using Eqs. 1 through 6.
3) Call GEM to conduct reservoir simulations and to calculate the objective function values based on the simulated production profiles.
4) Update well pattern description index and spacings with PSO.
5) Repeat Steps 2 through 4 until the preset maximum number of iterations is reached.
6) Set the optimal well placement determined from Steps 1 through 5 as the initial solution point of GPS; in this step, the initial perturbation vector $x' = (0,0,\ldots,0)$.
7) Update well perturbation vectors $x'$ using the GPS until the preset maximum number of iterations is reached.

3. Application of the optimization procedure for case studies

In this section, the proposed step-wise procedure is applied for optimizing well placement in two CBM reservoirs. The first case involves a synthetic model that is representative of the geological conditions of an anthracite CBM reservoir in the Qinshui basin, China. The second case is a real high-volatile bituminous coalbed in the Illinois basin that has been built and calibrated by Karacan et al. (2014).

3.1. Case 1: well placement in a synthetic model

3.1.1. Model description

In this case, a synthetic model was constructed to represent the typical geological features of low permeability and high gas content reservoirs in anthracite coals of the Qinshui basin, China (Liu et al., 2013; Lv et al., 2012). The model consists of $80 \times 80 \times 1$ grid blocks in the X-, Y- and Z-direction, respectively. Each grid block has a dimension of 20 m x 20 m in the lateral plane. Heterogeneities in formation thickness, permeability and gas content are included in this model, which are shown in Fig. 5. Permeability evolution due to pressure drawdown and matrix shrinkage (see e.g., Chen et al., 2013; Li and...
Elsworth, 2015; Wu et al., 2010) is represented by a modified Palmer-Mansoori model (GEM, 2015; Palmer and Mansoori, 1998), with the parameters shown in Table 1. Relative permeability curves were adopted from experimental data on a Qinshui basin coal sample by Shen et al. (2011) (Fig. 6). Typically, relative permeability for coal samples in the Qinshui basin are associated with a narrow two-phase flow span and a high connate water saturation. Input reservoir properties for numerical simulations are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1**

Reservoir properties for the reservoir models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Synthetic model</th>
<th>Real field model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir depth (m)</td>
<td>576-594</td>
<td>157-186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation thickness (m)</td>
<td>4.41-5.65</td>
<td>0.69-2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (mD)</td>
<td>0.33-1.29</td>
<td>38-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (−)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (KPa)</td>
<td>5760-5940</td>
<td>1505-1787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas content (m³/t)</td>
<td>14.5-17.1</td>
<td>3.18-3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock compressibility (KPa⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorption strain (−)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir pressure for sorption stain curve (KPa)</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison ratio (−)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (KPa)</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁶</td>
<td>2.9 × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir volume (m³/t)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.1-12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir pressure (KPa)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desorption time (d)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>313.15</td>
<td>311.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Spatial distribution of (a) formation thickness, (b) permeability and (c) cleat pressure and d) gas content of the synthetic model.

Fig. 6. Relative permeability curves used in this study.
As a common practice, vertical wells in CBM reservoirs are usually stimulated by hydraulic fracturing to increase productivity. Ideally, using local grid refinement together with near-wellbore permeability enhancement should reflect the effect of hydraulic fracture on production more accurately (Zuber et al., 1990; Zhang and Bian, 2015). However, this approach requires more computational effort.

### Table 2
Parameterization for the PSO and GPS algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSO</td>
<td>Swarm size</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle inertia coefficient</td>
<td>0.9 to 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive attraction coefficient</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social attraction coefficient</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. no. of function evaluations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion factor</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contraction factor</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poll method</td>
<td>2n positive basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Max. no. of function evaluations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
Economic parameters for computing NPV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Synthetic model</th>
<th>Real field model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well construction (million $/well)$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas price ($/m^3)$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water disposal ($/m^3)$</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well operating expense ($/well/year)$</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual discount rate (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate on the produced gas (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The well construction costs include expenses for drilling, completion and surface facilities. The well drilling cost is set to be $410/m, which is the averaged value for drilling in onshore oil/gas fields according to EIA (2016). The averaged well depths are 585 m and 170 m for the synthetic and real field models, respectively. Thus, the drilling costs are $240,000 and $70,000 for the synthetic and real field models, respectively. As implied in EIA (2016), the drilling cost takes a portion of 60–80% of the total construction costs for vertical wells. We picked a mediate value of 70% for approximating the total well construction costs based on the well drilling costs, which are $3,400,000 and $100,000 for the synthetic and real field models, respectively. The approximated well construction cost for the synthetic model agrees well with the data provided by Wu et al. (2018) for the Qinshui CBM reservoirs, although it is determined based on the EIA data for the US oil/gas fields.
- Adopted from Wu et al. (2018).
- Adopted from EIA website (https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/data.php#prices).
- Adopted from EIA (2016).
- Adopted from Salmachi et al. (2013).

As a common practice, vertical wells in CBM reservoirs are usually stimulated by hydraulic fracturing to increase productivity. Ideally, using local grid refinement together with near-wellbore permeability enhancement should reflect the effect of hydraulic fracture on production more accurately (Zuber et al., 1990; Zhang and Bian, 2015). However, this approach requires more computational effort and
The performance of an optimization algorithm is heavily dependent on its parameterization, which should be best determined through the meta-optimization (Chen et al., 2018). Since this paper is primarily concerned with the step-wise optimization framework rather than with the optimization algorithms, the meta-optimization technique is left for further investigation and is not considered here. Nonetheless, sensitivity analyses of the effect of optimization parameterizations on the algorithm performance were conducted (see Appendix A1) and the optimal parameter settings are summarized in Table 2. The maximum number of function evaluations is set to be 100 for both the PSO and GPS algorithms.

The lower boundary of both well spacings along the X- and Y-directions was set to be 200 m, with the consideration of avoiding penetration of hydraulic fractures into adjacent wells by assuming a maximum fracture half-length of 100 m. For low-permeability coal seams in Qinshui basin, optimal well spacings are generally less than 400 m $\times$ 400 m (Meng et al., 2018; Yan, 2015). However, a preliminary sensitivity analysis on this synthetic model indicates that using a loose upper boundary for well spacing tends to increase the probability of success to find the global optimum (see Appendix A2). As such, the upper boundary was expanded to 1000 m to allow the algorithm to search over a large well spacing range across the reservoir model. Economic parameters used for the computation of NPV are set according to recent published data (Table 3), with an attempt to mimic the actual cases.

3.1.2. Results
In this section, we will first report the global optimal uniform well placement determined by manually simulating all possible well pattern and spacing realizations. The proposed optimization procedure is then applied and validated by comparing the optimization with the manual simulation results.

3.1.2.1. Manual determination of the optimal uniform well placement. Under the well spacing boundary setting of 200 to 1000 m, a total of 41 $\times$ 41 $\times$ 2 $\approx$ 3362 realizations of uniform well patterns are possible given a well block dimension of 20 m $\times$ 20 m. By manually conducting numerical simulations of all these possible realizations, one can obtain the gas and water rates, which can then be used together with economic parameters (Table 3) to compute the NPV surfaces (Fig. 7). As shown in Fig. 7, the NPV surfaces are extremely rough and multiple local optimal points are demonstrated especially in the lower left triangular region of the NPV surfaces. To quantitatively compare the local optima solutions, we summarized the top 45 solutions with relatively high NPVs in Appendix 3, which shows that all these solutions give well spacings in the range of 200 to 460 m. Such small well spacings are quite typical for, and commonly deployed in, the low-permeability CBM formations in the Qinshui basin (Meng et al., 2018; Yang and Ye, 2008). It also shows that the top 5 solutions are associated with close NPVs - the lowest NPV among these solutions is $2.254 \times 10^6$ (rectangular pattern with well spacings of 400 m $\times$ 200 m), which is only $\approx 4.1\%$ less than the global optimal NPV of $2.351 \times 10^6$ (five-spot pattern with well spacings of 320 m $\times$ 200 m). The presence of these multiple near-optimal solutions may increase the challenge for the algorithm to find the global optima.

Fig. 8 shows the NPVs relative to the number of wells corresponding to all possible 3362 realizations of well pattern and spacing. The figure shows that the resulting NPVs first show a general increase and then decrease with the number of wells. Such an observation agrees well with that of Liu et al. (2019), which can be interpreted as a result of the competition between incomes recovered from selling the gas and well layouts of varying well numbers. Numerous studies (e.g., Chaianansutcharit et al., 2001; Gentzis and Bolen, 2008; Liang et al., 2011; Young et al., 1992) have proven that the well interference effect tends to promote reservoir pressure drawdown and accelerate gas
Fig. 12. Spatial distributions of reservoir properties in the real field model. (a) top depth, (b) thickness, (c) porosity, (d) permeability, (e) cleat pressure, and (f) gas content.

Fig. 13. The NPV surface corresponding to well spacings for (a) rectangular and (b) five-spot well pattern of the real field model. Note: the minimum for the NPV color bar is set to be zero for clear visualization.
First, 10 independent optimization runs of uniform well pattern and spacings using the PSO were conducted, and the evolution trends of NPVs are demonstrated in Fig. 9. As shown, NPVs are non-uniform and spaced during the initial exploration stage (~50 function evaluations) of optimization, which is attributed to the stochastic nature of the initialization and velocity update schemes of the PSO algorithm. Nonetheless, all runs tend to switch from an exploration to an exploitation pattern (Kaveh, 2014) after ~50 function evaluations and then converge gradually to an optimal or near-optimal solution after a number of 100 function evaluations. Fig. 10 summarizes the optimal solutions found by 10 independent runs. As shown, 7 runs succeed in finding the global optimum with an NPV of $2.351 \times 10^6$. Although the remaining 3 runs fail in reaching a global optimum, they give rather close approximations to the global optimum solution. The minimal optimized NPV among these 10 runs is $2.261 \times 10^6$, which is only ~3.8% less than the global optimal NPV of $2.351 \times 10^6$. The failure in reaching the global optimal solution is attributed to the presence of multiple local optimal solutions that are located around the global optimum (Fig. 7), where the PSO may be easily trapped. Nonetheless, the computational efficiency of the application of the PSO for optimizing uniform well placement is clearly demonstrated: one can recover a general high-quality solution after only 100 function evaluations using the proposed method, whereas 3362 function evaluations are needed if all possible realizations are simulated manually.

After the first-stage optimization for uniform well placement, the optimization of well perturbations can be subsequently conducted to further improve the solution and thereby, the economics. In this stage, we assume that the global optimal solution to the uniform well placement (five-spot pattern with well spacings of 320 and 200 m in the X- and Y-directions, respectively) has been successfully obtained although the first-stage optimization may result in a local optimal solution. We will discuss how a near-optimal uniform well placement solution affects the subsequent perturbation optimization results in Section 4.2. Since the GPS is deterministic in nature, the optimization results are identical provided that the starting points where optimization initiates are constant. Therefore, the performance of the GPS regarding perturbation optimization can be evaluated based on a single optimization run.

As illustrated in Fig. 9, the NPV first exhibit a substantial increase in the initial stage (10 simulation runs) and then becomes relatively stable towards the end of the perturbation optimization stage. The NPV after 100 objective function evaluations improves from $2.351 \times 10^6$ to $2.641 \times 10^6$, with a percentage increase of ~12.3%. Fig. 11 compares the optimal uniform and optimal perturbed well placements. It can be seen that in this particular example, the location and well status of most wells (35 out of 36) remain unchanged after well perturbation optimization. This is in accordance with previous statements in Section 2.2 and in Onwunalu and Durlofsky (2011), that the contribution of well perturbation optimization to NPV improvement is less significant than that of uniform well pattern optimization because the uniform well placement is already a near-optimal solution to the well placement optimization problem. Nonetheless, it is interesting that three wells with the least cumulative gas productions ($\leq 2.37 \times 10^6$ m$^3$) among 38 wells are perturbed after the perturbation optimization. Among the three perturbed wells, two in the lower left part of the reservoir (with a respective cumulative gas production of $2.37 \times 10^6$ and $2.30 \times 10^6$ m$^3$) are shut in; the remaining one (with a cumulative gas production of $2.35 \times 10^6$) in the lower right part of the reservoir is shifted to a grid block with higher IOP than its previous location.

### 3.2. Case 2: well placement in a real field model

#### 3.2.1. Model description

The real field model used in this study is adopted from Karacan et al. (2014) that consists of a total of 101 × 51 × 1 grid blocks, each with a lateral dimension of 50 m × 50 m. This model is for the Seelyville coal located in the Indiana section of the Illinois Basin, which was constructed by means of geostatistical modeling integrated with history matching (Karacan, 2013; Karacan et al., 2014). The target formation is a high-volatile bituminous coal, which is characterized with low formation thickness, high permeability and low gas content. Such a geological condition is significantly different from that of the synthetic model, which could possibly be associated with a distinguished optimal well placement solution.

Input reservoir properties for the numerical simulations are summarized in Table 1. Fig. 12 depicts distributions of burial depth, thickness, cleat porosity, permeability, pressure and gas content of the model. As shown, significant heterogeneities are demonstrated concerning formation thickness, porosity and permeability. Reservoir
pressure and gas content vary in a relatively narrow range, suggesting a less notable heterogeneity. Relative permeability curves were taken as "averaged" curves used in Karacan et al. (2014), which are depicted in Fig. 6. Well settings and the optimization algorithm parameterization are identical to those used in the synthetic model. The economical parameters are shown in Table 3. It is noted that the average permeability for the real field model is significantly higher than the synthetic model, and therefore the upper boundary for well spacing should be accordingly enlarged (Zulkarnain, 2005). Previous studies (Young et al., 1992; Zuber et al., 1990) suggest that optimal well spacings for bituminous CBM reservoirs are generally less than 640 acres (~1600 m × 1600 m). For this real field model, the upper boundary constraint for well spacing is set to be 2000 m to ensure an extensive search range.

3.2.2. Results

Similar to the procedure in Section 3.1.2, we first report the uniform well placement determined by manually scanning all possible realizations and then apply and validate the proposed optimization procedure for this real field model case.

3.2.2.1. Manual determination of the optimal uniform well placement. The optimal uniform well placement was determined by manually simulating all possible well pattern and spacing realizations (in a similar manner as in the synthetic case) to be a rectangular pattern with well spacings of 1300 and 1300 m along X- and Y-directions, respectively. The optimal well spacing is relatively large compared to that in the synthetic model (320 m × 200 m), which may be partly attributed to the relatively high permeabilities of the Seelyville coal seams. Generally, lower-permeability CBM reservoirs require smaller well spacings in order to accelerate the depressurization of the reservoir (Zulkarnain, 2005). Meanwhile, this real field model has a thin coal seam and less gas content compared with the synthetic model, where profitability can be significantly reduced using smaller well spacings (more wells) because the in situ CBM reserves is limited and drilling more wells may not contribute to significant improvement in gas

Fig. 16. Optimized well placement for the real field model. (a) comparison of the uniform optimal well pattern and well placement after perturbation optimization with a background showing the IOP; (b) and (c) show the reservoir pressure distributions after 15 years’ production using the optimal uniform and the optimal perturbed well placements, respectively. Note: Triangular and solid circles represent wells before and after perturbation optimization, respectively; the arrows illustrate how wells are perturbed; numbers are cumulative gas productions after 15 years’ production.
productions. Our results are in accordance with previous studies (Young et al., 1992; Zuber et al., 1990; Zulkarnain, 2005) that conclude an increase in permeability and/or gas content tends to enlarge the optimal well spacings.

Fig. 13 shows that the NPV surface for all possible scenarios of well spacings and patterns. It is demonstrated that multiple local optimal solutions are grouped around the global optimum especially for the rectangular well pattern. Appendix A3 summarizes the top 45 most productive solutions for uniform well placement solutions with relatively high NPVs. It can be seen that all these solutions give a rectangular pattern with well spacings in the range of (1300–1800) m and (1300–1500) m along the X- and Y-directions, respectively. The global optimal solution has well spacings of 1300 and 1300 m along the X- and Y-directions, respectively, with an NPV of $5.60 \times 10^5$. The presence of such large number of local optimal solutions may magnify the difficulty for the PSO to find the global optima, which will be addressed in the following section.

### 3.2.2.2. Application of the proposed optimization procedure

For the uniform well placement optimization stage, 10 independent runs were conducted for this real field model and the trajectories of NPVs are illustrated in Fig. 14. As shown, the NPVs evolve in a similar manner to those of the synthetic model – all runs tend to be in an effective exploration state where significant improvements in NPVs are demonstrated and then converge gradually to the global optima or near-optimal solutions. Compared with the synthetic model, more simulation runs are needed in the exploration stage, which may be due to the presence of more local optima in the real field model (Fig. 7).

Fig. 15 summarizes the final optimized NPVs at the end of uniform well placement optimization (100 function evaluations) for 10 independent runs. It can be seen that there are chances that the PSO algorithm gets stuck in a local optimum – only 4 runs succeed in finding the global optimal NPV of $5.60 \times 10^5$ while the remaining 6 runs result in optimized NPVs less than but close to $5.60 \times 10^5$.

For the well perturbation optimization stage, we again assume that the global optimal solution (rectangular pattern with well spacings of 1300 and 1300 m along the X- and Y-directions, respectively) has been successfully obtained during the uniform well placement optimization stage. The effect of a near-optimal uniform well placement solution on the subsequent perturbation optimization results will be presented in Section 4. It can be seen from Fig. 14 that the NPV climbs gradually from $5.60 \times 10^5$ to $5.85 \times 10^5$ within 100 functional evaluations during the perturbation optimization stage. An improvement of $2.56 \times 10^5$ (4.6%) in NPV can be obtained after perturbation optimization, compared with that of the optimal uniform well pattern. Such improvement in NPV is rather limited, which is may be attributed to the subtle difference in reservoir depressurizations that is to be discussed as follows.

Fig. 16a compares the well placement optimized in the first and second optimization stages. As shown, 3 among 8 wells were placed in their respective grid block with higher IOP after perturbation optimization, while the remaining 5 wells remain in their initial position. All wells remain active after well perturbation optimization, which suggest that none of these wells is redundant. Figs. 16b and c show that cumulative gas productions are increased for the three perturbed wells compared with the uniform well placement. It is also shown that well placement following optimal perturbations results in further pressure drawdown especially in the inter-well regions (which is marked with circles in Fig. 16b) compared with using the optimal uniform well placement. As stated previously, increased depressurization is favorable to gas production in CBM reservoirs, which therefore contributes to the improvement in NPVs. However, it is noted that very minor difference is demonstrated regarding the average reservoir pressures after 15 years’ production using the uniform and perturbed well placements (Figs. 16b and c). Such minor difference is attributed to the relatively high permeability of the formation, which is favorable for accelerating and thus reduces the effect of well placement on pressure drawdown propagation.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Comparison with the well-by-well concatenation optimization

##### 4.1.1. Well-by-well concatenation optimization

The well-by-well concatenation optimization method is widely used for optimizing well placement in hydrocarbon reservoirs (Chen et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2012; Salmachi et al., 2013). In this method, the location of each well is represented with its special coordinates. For a multiple well placement optimization problem, the special coordinates of wells are simultaneously tuned such that an objective function (e.g., NPV) can be optimized. Unlike the proposed step-wise optimization procedure, the number of wells subject to placement optimization must be presumed before optimization initiates. For example, if we consider the placement optimization of a number of $N_t$ wells in a single coal seam, there should be a number of $2 \times N_t$ variables representing the X- and Y-coordinates of these wells that are to be optimized. One should note that the optimal well placement solutions are significantly affected by the presumed number of wells, which however can hardly be determined accurately prior to the well placement optimization.

In this study, the number of wells was set to be the same as that in the best uniform well pattern solution for each model case. Two sorts of infeasible solutions may be produced in well-by-well concatenation
optimizations. The first infeasible solution is for a well that exists in or out of the reservoir boundary, which is handled with a “bounce back” strategy (Feng et al., 2012). The second infeasible solution is that which results in the overlapping of wells, i.e., two or more wells are placed in the same grid block. In this case, only one well at the grid block where overlapping occurs was set to be active and all other overlapping wells were assigned as “shut-in.” The well construction and operating expenses of shut-in wells are eliminated from the calculation of NPV.

4.1.2. Comparison of the optimal NPV

Fig. 17 illustrates the evolution trends of NPVs for 10 runs of well-by-well concatenation optimizations as a comparison to the proposed procedure for both the synthetic and real field models. It clearly shows that none of the 10 runs results in an NPV higher than the two-stage procedure for either model. The best NPVs among 10 runs of well-by-well concatenation optimizations are $7.471 \times 10^5$ and $5.189 \times 10^5$ for the synthetic and real field model, respectively. Compared with the best runs of the two-stage optimization procedure, the percentage reductions in NPV using the well-by-well optimization method are ~71.8% and ~11.4% for the synthetic and real field models, respectively. It is also noted that severe deviations exist in NPVs between different well-by-well concatenation optimization runs. For example, the worst solution for the synthetic model has an optimized NPV of $-5.771 \times 10^4$, corresponding to a relative deviation of ~100% with reference to the best solution with an NPV of $7.471 \times 10^5$. This indicates the strong intrinsic instability in the well-by-well concatenation optimization procedure, and therefore multiple optimization runs should be conducted to guarantee a near-optimum solution when using the well-by-well optimization procedure. Fig. 17 also demonstrates that the convergence speed of the well-by-well optimization procedure is obviously slower than the two-stage procedure and therefore more simulation runs are needed to reach a high-quality solution in a single optimization run. Because field-scale reservoir simulations are usually time-consuming and computationally expensive, the proposed two-stage optimization procedure obviously outperforms the well-by-well concatenation optimization in terms of computational efficiency.

4.1.3. Comparison of the optimal well placement

Fig. 18 compares the optimal well locations for the synthetic model obtained by the well-by-well concatenation and the proposed optimization procedures. It is shown that the spatial distribution of well locations is highly irregular as obtained by the well-by-well concatenation optimization - some wells are grouped close to one another that results in sufficient local reservoir depressurization while some areas not controlled by wells are poorly drained. As a comparison, the proposed optimization procedure results in more evenly distributed well placement and more effective reservoir depressurization. The average reservoir pressures after a production duration of 15 years are 1080 and 973 KPa using the optimal well placements determined by the well-by-well concatenation and the proposed procedures, respectively. Such noticeable difference in reservoir depressurization is responsible for the significant difference in NPVs optimized by either procedure.

Fig. 19 depicts the optimal well placement for the real field model using the well-by-well concatenation method. Comparison between Fig. 19 and Fig. 16c shows that the reservoir pressure drawdown using the well placement optimized by the proposed procedure (560 KPa) is slightly higher than that by the well-by-well concatenation method (584 KPa). Such difference in the reservoir depressurization is less noticeable than that for the synthetic model, which is again attributed to the relatively high permeability of the Seelyville coal seams.

4.2. Effects of the first stage solution on the second stage results

As mentioned in Section 3, the PSO may be potentially trapped in local optima during the first stage of optimization (of uniform well placement) due to the extremely rough and coarse solution surface. In this section, we will discuss how a local optimal solution obtained in the first stage affects the optimization results in the second stage. For the synthetic model, the top three local optimal solutions, as summarized in Appendix A3, are used for sensitivity analysis with the consideration that all optimization runs give a solution with NPVs higher than $2.261 \times 10^6$ (which is ranked as the 3rd local optimal solution, see Fig. 10). For the real field model, the 15th, 30th and 45th local optimal solutions are analyzed.

As shown in Fig. 20a, for the synthetic model, the final optimal NPVs after 100 objective function evaluations during the perturbation stage are generally dependent on the initialization - a higher NPV obtained during the first optimization stage results in a higher NPV during the second stage. As stated in Section 3.1, only three wells (out of a total of 38 wells) are perturbed during the well perturbation optimization stage. Under the constraint of a narrow well spacing defined by the uniform well placement optimization, the contribution of well perturbation to NPV improvements is significantly compressed, which
therefore signifies the effect of the uniform well placement solution. As such, several optimization runs may be needed in practice to screen the PSO solutions in order to guarantee a high quality well perturbation solution. Nonetheless, it is noted that the PSO is highly efficient in delivering the global optimum (Figs. 9 and 10) and therefore multiple optimization runs should take limited additional computational costs.

Fig. 20b shows that the solution of the first optimization stage affects the second optimization stage in a quite different manner for the real field model, compared with that for the synthetic model. For the real field model, the difference between the highest ($5.856 \times 10^5$) and lowest ($5.515 \times 10^5$) optimal NPVs after well perturbations using different initializations is only $3.41 \times 10^4$, which suggest a very minor effect of the first-stage solution on the second-stage optimization results. It is also shown in Fig. 20b that a better uniform well placement solution does not guarantee a higher NPV after well perturbation optimization. For example, i) the solution with the 15th local optimum determined in the first optimization stage result in a lowest NPV after well perturbation optimization and ii) the resulting NPV using the 30th local optimum is lower than the 45th. One possible explanation for this observation is that the optimal or near-optimal uniform well spacings are relatively large for the real field model, and therefore wells can be perturbed within a more expansive space than that in the synthetic model. This results in more flexible well perturbations and tends to weaken the predominant effect of the initial uniform well placement. Besides, as mentioned in Section 3.2, this real field model has relatively high reservoir permeability, and similar average reservoir pressure drawdown may be attained even for different well placement patterns provided the number of wells is identical. Such findings suggest that local optimal solutions in the first optimization stage do not significantly affect the final NPVs after the optimization of perturbation.

5. Conclusions

This paper proposes a general framework to optimize well placement for large-scale field development of CBM through integration of reservoir simulations, optimization algorithms and economics. The optimization framework consists of two stages – viz. optimization of uniform well placement and then optimization of perturbations in well locations. The framework was applied to obtain the optimal well placement in both a synthetic and then a real field CBM reservoir, both of which were demonstrated to outperform the well-by-well concatenation optimization method in terms of computational efficiency and NPV. Sensitivity analysis suggests that for an optimal uniform well placement pattern with narrow well spacings in the synthetic model with low permeability, the contribution of well perturbation to the NPV increment is heavily dependent on the uniform well placement solution. For an optimal uniform well placement pattern with relatively large well spacings in the real field model with high permeability, the initial uniform well placement has a very minor effect on the subsequent well perturbation solutions.
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