Shale gas production from reservoirs with hierarchical multiscale structural heterogeneities
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ABSTRACT

Hydrofractured shale reservoirs exhibit a complex hierarchy of heterogeneous structures. Although the importance of hierarchical structures has long been recognised, the full control of scale-dependent heterogeneities on gas production remains ill-defined. We characterize reservoir structural heterogeneity at four hierarchical levels: (1) heterogeneous nanopore structure of the OM (Organic Matrix/Kerogen); (2) heterogeneous micro-structure of the IOM (Inorganic Matrix); (3) discrete structure of the NFNs (Natural Fracture Networks); and (4) discrete structure of the HF\textsubscript{s} (Hydraulic Fractures). A fractal approach is applied to characterize these internal structural heterogeneities for both the OM and IOM. These characterisations are incorporated into the constitutive relations defining transport within the OM and IOM. NFNs are generated by the Monte Carlo method while HFs are represented by a fractal tree-like network. A constitutive law for flow within a fracture is applied to both NFNs and HFs. Overall mechanical equilibrium and continuity of deformations are applied across these hierarchical systems comprising the shale reservoir while separate field equations for transport are derived for each structural system. The mass exchange between the individual structural systems is satisfied through source/sink terms compatible with deformation enforced through boundary conditions and internal controls. The resulting multi-scale heterogeneous model is verified against the analysis of an idealised shale reservoir. Simulation results honor the ultimate recovery fractions and demonstrate the significant impacts of both hydraulic fracture and natural fracture heterogeneities on the evolution of recovery. The verified model is applied to a field case. The modelled gas production rate curve agrees well with field data for a reservoir in Barnett shale. Sensitivity studies confirm that heterogeneities at all scales are important but that their respective roles evolve separately during the history of gas production.

1. Introduction

Shale gas production has undergone tremendous development worldwide over the last two decades, largely due to breakthroughs in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing (Shaffer et al., 2013). Reservoir stimulation by hydraulic-fracturing increases permeability of the reservoir and allows for the commercial extraction of the gas. Shale reservoirs are typically heterogeneous aggregates of organic matter, inorganic minerals and clays and with an interconnected pore system. The resident gas is variously stored (a) on the pore surfaces of organic matter and clay minerals in adsorbed form, (b) as free gas in nanopores and microfractures, and (c) as solid kerogen and in connate water in the dissolved form (Zhang et al., 2012). The proportion of adsorbed gas ranges from 20\% to 80\%, which is highly dependent on the total content of organic carbon (TOC) (Lan, 2019; Wu et al., 2014). Artificially-generated multistage hydraulic fractures (HFs) provide flow paths which divide the shale reservoir into matrix and fracture zones. During shale gas production, gas desorbs from the pore surface of the shale matrix and typically flows first into natural fractures (NF\textsubscript{s}) and from there into the HFs. These gas flow behaviours and the corresponding permeability attributed various scales of macro-through micro-fractures and pores are substantially influenced by the heterogeneity at each length scale (\textsuperscript{\textcopyright}Akku\textsuperscript{\textregistered}tu\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textregistered}}tu et al., 2017; Akku\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textregistered}}tu\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textregistered}}tu and Fathi, 2012). Therefore, accurate characterisation of the multiscale heterogeneity of the reservoir is a prerequisite for the accurate evaluation of shale gas production.
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The shale matrix is a blend of organic matter (OM) and inorganic matter (IOM). Pores within the OM vary from a few nanometres to hundreds of nanometres (Yuan et al., 2017). These highly-developed micropores provide abundant adsorption sites for the hydrocarbon gas. By contrast, the pore sizes in the IOM vary from 10 nm to 100 μm (Naraghi and Javadpour, 2015), as apparent from extensive measurements by micro-computed tomography (μ-CT), scanning electron microscopy (SEMs), mercury intrusion porosimetry and low-temperature adsorption (Chen et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2015; Vafaie et al., 2015). Such measurements demonstrate that the pore size distribution (PSD) of the shale matrix follows fractal scaling (Bu et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2014, 2016). Additionally, the fractal dimensions of the PSDs are observed to be positively correlated with TOC with higher levels of OM indicating a more heterogeneous pore structure (Li et al., 2016). Moreover, because flow regimes (viscous flow, Knudsen diffusion and surface diffusion) are highly dependent on pore diameter, according to the definition of the Knudsen number, the fractal dimensions of the PSD within the OM significantly affects both diffusivity and apparent permeability (Tian et al., 2019). Accordingly, fractal-based permeability models have been developed and applied to describe gas flow within the shale matrix (Cai et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2018; Sheng et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2013).

Stochastic NFs and HFs at macroscale are two pivotal attributes of shale reservoir heterogeneity which provide the primary transport paths for gas depletion. The corresponding permeabilities of NFs and HFs are typically several orders-of-magnitude higher than the matrix permeability. Higher densities of NFs improve flow transfer area and decrease diffusion lengths within the reservoir. However, widely-used dual-continuum models (Barenblatt et al., 1960; Warren and Root, 1963) and subsequent multi-porosity, multi-permeability models (Bai and Elsworth, 2006; Bai et al., 1993; Wu et al., 2010) are typically based on the assumption that individual solution cells within the reservoir are homogeneous and isotropic—not accommodating their true heterogeneous and fractal characteristics. Correspondingly, to obviate this problem, various approaches, including the discrete fracture model (Mi et al., 2014), local grid refinement with unstructured grids (Jiang and Younis, 2015) and embedded discrete fracture model (Xue et al., 2019) are proposed to model the complex fracture network explicitly in numerical simulation, aiming to provide more accurate production forecasting. Moreover, the HFs that consist of primary fractures and induced fractures can also be characterized by the continuum-based and discrete fracture models. As a continuum-based approach, a multi-domain model is proposed to incorporate the complexity of the stimulated volume (Li et al., 2019, 2020). More ideally, a fractal tree-like branched network may be employed to characterize the topology of HFs (Nandlal and Weijermars, 2019; Tan et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015, 2018), including accommodating constraints on geometry supplied by micro-seismic data (Fan and Eftekhadavakkol, 2017). In this, the configuration of the fracture network is correlated to the fractal controlling parameters and bifurcation ratio.

Porosity and permeability change dynamically with the evolution of effective stress due to reservoir depressurisation during gas production (Chen et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2018). Gas depletion increases effective stresses, compacting pores and especially compliant fractures and typically reducing permeability (Cao et al., 2016b). Conversely, gas desorption from matrix pores also causes matrix shrinkage, potentially increasing pore diameters and related permeability. Therefore, the apparent permeability of the shale matrix evolves as a result of the potential complex competition between these two processes operating across a range of length scales.

Contemporary views of fluid storage and transport in shale reservoirs define controls on processes that operate over a spectrum of scales - from nano- to kilo-metre. However, the resultant impact of multiscale heterogeneities, from microscale OM and IOM to macroscale NFs and HFs, remain incompletely understood. In this study, a fractal approach is applied to characterize the PSD of the dual-continuum matrix (OM and IOM) and used to define a fractal-based permeability model. The fractal characteristics of the matrix are interfaced with explicit representation of NFs and HFs as a branched dendritic discrete fracture network (DFN) representing fractal characteristics at all scales. A mechanical equilibrium equation is applied to the whole reservoir system. This equation regulates the distribution of the total stresses throughout the reservoir. Under this framework, a multi-scale heterogeneous model of gas production is developed to represent hydrofractured shale reservoirs across the full scale of the reservoir.

2. Conceptual model

Production typically transports gas from the nanopores in the shale matrix, through the network of NFs into the artificially-generated HFs and then to the well (Fig. 1). Multiscale heterogeneity within the reservoir may be represented at nested scales using heterogeneous distributions of HFs and discrete NFs at field scale and of PSDs for the IOM and OM at core scale. Importantly, the inherent heterogeneity of the pore structure and artificial HFs must be appropriately characterised.

In the shale matrix, the pore diameter is an important factor that determines both the apparent permeability and the dominant flow regime. Effective-stress-dependent fractal permeability models have been used to investigate the impacts of a heterogeneous matrix structure on the evolution of coal permeability (Tian et al., 2019). We extend this to both the OM and IOM by separately described their PSDs using a fractal approach. The conceptual model for the fractal-based dual continuum is presented in Fig. 2.

In addition to a heterogeneous PSD in the matrix, the pre-existing natural fracture network (NFN) also affects gas transport and production. The gas discharged from the matrix flows through the NFN and then into the hydraulic fracture network (HFN). The orientation, length distribution and intensity of the stochastic NFNs are critical parameters to characterize the NFN. According to the analysis of fracture data sets (Barton, 1978; Bridges, 1975; Manzocchi, 2002), a lognormal distribution provides the best fit to trace lengths. Thus, we assume that the trace length of the shale NFNs conforms to a lognormal distribution and that fracture orientations and locations within NFNs are following a uniform distribution.

Hydraulic fracturing creates both primary and secondary HFs (Blanton, 1986; Chen et al., 2015; Sheng et al., 2019) and in some cases with an irregular form and slightly branched structure. We honor this observation by developing an HFN as a dendritic network of fractures.
with a fractal form, as displayed in Fig. 3. The fractal-based HFN is ideally based on the assumption that both fracture aperture and length follow a fractal scaling law. The orthogonal hydraulic fractures intersected with horizontal well are defined as primary HFs while the branched hydraulic fractures propagating from primary HFs are categorized as secondary HFs. Both the primary and secondary HFNs constitute the stimulated reservoir volume (SRV) with the region beyond the SRV representing an unstimulated reservoir volume (USRV) that remains pristine.

In addition to the hierarchical architecture of the pore structure within the reservoir, gas production involves complex nested feedbacks of multi-physics coupling process. These include principal couplings of gas desorption, shrinkage and flow in the OM, gas flow in the IOM, gas flow in NFN and HFN all overprinted by the impacts of changes in effective stress, as depicted in Fig. 4. Specifically, gas desorption and depletion in the kerogen induces shrinkage within the organic matter, which changes pore capillary diameters and intrinsic permeability. At the same time, pressure depletion and changes in effective stresses induce a transition in flow regimes and apparent permeability since both are highly dependent on pressure and pore diameter. In the IOM and fracture networks (NFN and HFN), a decrease in pore (fracture) pressure increases effective stress and potentially compacts fractures and reduces fracture apertures and related permeability. In summary, this sequential gas flow from OM to HFN is modulated by evolving effective stress and highly time-dependent. The following defines the governing equations for the hierarchy of the quadruple fields of sequentially nested media (OM, IOM, NF, HF) and the cross-coupling relationships among them.
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**Fig. 2.** Conceptual model for the PSD of OM and IOM: (a) SEM image of shale matrix (Ambrose et al., 2010); (b) Dual-continuum representation of OM and IOM.

Coupling relations are either effective stress-dependent or pressure-dependent while mass exchanges are normally pressure and local rock structure-dependent. These relations are formulated and implemented into a finite element method-based PDE solver.

3. Formulation of the conceptual model

3.1. Formulation for shale deformation

The shale matrix comprises OM and IOM and is represented as a dual-porosity system with different flow and mechanical properties. Based on poroelastic response, the constitutive equation for matrix deformation is expressed as (Cao et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2008):

\[
\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2G} \sigma_{ij} - \left( \frac{1}{6G} - \frac{1}{9K} \right) \sigma_{	ext{ex}} \delta_{ij} - \frac{a_m p_m}{3K} \delta_{ij} + \frac{a_k p_k}{3K} \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_s \delta_{ij}
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{ij}\) and \(\sigma_{ij}\) are the components of the total strain tensor and total stress tensor, respectively; \(G\) and \(K\) are the shear modulus and bulk modulus of the shale, respectively; \(\sigma_{	ext{ex}}\) is the effective stress, \(\delta_{ij}\) is the Kronecker delta; \(\varepsilon_s\) is the sorption-induced volumetric strain; \(a_m\) and \(a_k\) are the Biot coefficients of the IOM and OM, respectively, \(p_m\) and \(p_k\) are the gas pressure in IOM and OM in MPa, respectively. It is assumed that gas sorption occurs primarily in the OM system. Therefore, the sorption-induced swelling stress is dependent only upon the pore pressure of...
the OM. The swelling strain is defined from the extended Langmuir
equation as (Cui and Bustin, 2005; Zhang et al., 2008):
\[ \varepsilon_s = \frac{\varepsilon_i p_i}{P_i + p_i} \] (2)
where \( \varepsilon_s \) is the Langmuir volumetric strain constant, \( P_i \) is the Langmuir
pressure constant in MPa, and \( p_i \) is the pore pressure in the kerogen
system. The Navier equation can be obtained by combining Eqs. (1) and
(2), as follows:
\[ G \alpha_{i, k} + \frac{G}{1 - 2 \lambda} \alpha_{i, k} \alpha_{i, k} - \alpha_{i, k} - K \varepsilon_{i, k} + f_i = 0 \] (3)
where \( u_{i} \) is the displacement for shale under effective stress, \( f_i \) is the body
force.

3.2. Formulation for gas flow in organic nanopores

Gas is present within the OM of the matrix in both adsorbed and free
states. During gas depletion, gas transfers from the OM (kerogen) to the
IOM as driven by the pressure differential. As pore diameters within
the OM (kerogen) system span several orders-of-magnitude, gas transport is
influenced substantially by variations in PSD. The mass balance equa-
tion for gas flow in the kerogen (OM) system is expressed as:
\[ \frac{\partial \rho_{gk}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( - \rho_{gk} \frac{k}{\mu} \nabla P_{k} \right) = - Q_{g} \] (4)
where \( \rho_{gk} \) denotes the total gas content in OM, which includes the free
gas in nanopores and adsorbed gas in the OM, \( \rho_{g} \) represents the density
of free gas within the kerogen defined as an ideal gas as \( \rho_{g} = MP_{k}/RT \) in
kg/m³. \( k \) is the permeability of the kerogen system and \( Q_{g} \) on the right-
hand side of the equation is the source term in kg/s. The current gas
content can be defined as:
\[ m_{k} = \rho_{gk}\phi_{k} + \rho_{sp}\phi_{sp} \frac{V_{l} p_{l}}{p_{k} + P_{l}} \] (5)
where \( \rho_{gk} \) is gas density in the kerogen system at the corresponding
pressure, \( \phi_{k} \) is the porosity of the kerogen, \( \rho_{sp} \) is the gas density at
standard conditions in kg/m³. \( \phi_{sp} \) denotes the density of the solid matrix,
\( V_{l} \) denotes the Langmuir volume in m³/kg, and \( P_{l} \) denotes the Langmuir
pressure constant.

The majority of pores in the kerogen system are at nanometre-scale and the
gas flow behaviour in these nanopores becomes flow-regime
dependent. The Knudsen number (\( K_{n} \)) is defined as the ratio of the
mean free path of the molecule relative to the pore diameter and is
widely used to index flow regimes, as shown in Fig. 5 - indicating the
relation among gas pressure, pore radius and \( K_{n} \).

In the kerogen system (OM), the pore diameters of the nanoscale
pores are of the same order as the mean free path of the gas (methane)
molecule, enhancing the rate of collisions between the molecules and
the pore walls and inhibiting transport. Gas flux is defined by the
Knudsen diffusion equation with additional methane in the kerogen
system adsorbed at the pore wall. Surface diffusion then occurs due to
gas concentration differences on the nanopore walls. As shown in Fig. 6,
viscous flow, Knudsen diffusion and surface diffusion all coexist in the
nanopores of the OM, with each flow regime contributing to its apparent
permeability.

The contribution ratio of viscous flow (\( C_{v} \)), contribution ratio of
Knudsen diffusion (\( C_{n} \)) and contribution ratio of surface diffusion (\( C_{s} \))
to the total molar flux in OM are defined as:

\[ C_{v} = \frac{\frac{Q_{v}}{Q_{v} + Q_{n} + Q_{s}} \times 100}{\%} \] (6)
\[ C_{n} = \frac{\frac{Q_{n}}{Q_{v} + Q_{n} + Q_{s}} \times 100}{\%} \]
\[ C_{s} = \frac{\frac{Q_{s}}{Q_{v} + Q_{n} + Q_{s}} \times 100}{\%} \]

where the \( Q_{v}, Q_{n} \) and \( Q_{s} \) are the contributed molar flux of viscous flow, Knudsen diffusion and surface diffusion in mol/(m²/s).

The equivalent permeability of the OM is obtained as (see Appendix
A):
\[ k_{d} = k_{v} + k_{n} + k_{s} = \frac{\pi \phi_{sp} D_{sv} h_{max}^{2} D_{sv}^{2}}{128.3 + D_{sv} - D_{sv} L_{sv} L_{sv}^{-1} + 2 \pi \mu_{D_{sv}} h_{max}^{2} D_{sv}^{2} \left(2 + D_{sv} - D_{sv}\right) 6 \pi_{n} L_{sv} L_{sv}^{-1} + \pi \mu_{D_{sv}} C_{sp} \left(2 + D_{sv} - D_{sv}\right) L_{sv} L_{sv}^{-1}} \] (7)

where for the OM, \( D_{sv} \) is the fractal dimension of the PSD, which can be
evaluated from \( D_{sv} = 2 - \ln(\phi_{sp})/\ln(h_{min} / h_{max}) \), \( h_{max} \) is the maximum
pore diameter, \( h_{min} \) is the minimum pore diameter, \( \phi_{sp} \) is the fractal
dimension of the tortuosity within the pores of OM, \( L_{sv} \) is the tortuous
flow length of the pores in m, with \( D_{sv} \) as the surface diffusion coefficient
in m²/s and \( \phi_{sp} \) as the maximum concentration of adsorbed gas in mol/ m³.
The first term in Eq. (7) represents the Darcy permeability, the
second term represents the equivalent permeability due to Knudsen
diffusion and the third term represents equivalent permeability due to
the surface diffusion. In the above equation, the primary variables are $D_{ko}$ and $h_{max}$, which are correlated with porosity and effective stress (Tian et al., 2019) as:

$$\begin{align*}
L_{soi} &= \frac{\pi D_{ko} h_{max}^2}{4 \psi_o (2 - D_{ko})} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h_{max}}{h_{soi}} \right)^{2 - D_{ko}} \right] \\
\phi_{soi} &= h_{max} \phi_o \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_o (S - \phi_o) + (\epsilon_o - \epsilon_{soi})}{\phi_o} \right] \left[ \frac{2 - D_{ko}}{2 - D_{soi}} \right] \frac{1 - \phi_{soi}}{1 - \phi_o} \\
\end{align*}$$

where $h_{max}$ is minimum pore diameter within the OM in m, $D_{ko}$ is the fractal dimension under a given effective stress and $\epsilon_o = \epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{22} + \epsilon_{33}$ denotes the volumetric strain of the shale, $S = \epsilon_o + \frac{\epsilon_{12}^2}{\epsilon_{11}} - \epsilon_o S_0 = \epsilon_o + \frac{\phi_o}{\phi_o} - \epsilon_o$. The evolution of porosity and pore diameter $h$ within the OM (kerogen) under the influence of effective stress is calculated using the Cui-Bustin model (Cui and Bustin, 2005):

$$\phi_i = \phi_{io} \exp \left( - c_i \left[ \sigma - \sigma_0 - (p_i - p_{io}) \right] \right)$$

where $\phi_{io}$ is the initial porosity of OM, $c_i$ stands for the compressibility of the OM in Pa$^{-1}$, $\sigma$ and $\sigma_0$ are mean total stress at current and initial state, respectively, and $p_{io}$ is the initial gas pressure in kerogen system.

### 3.3. Formulation for gas flow in the inorganic matrix

The mass balance equation for gas transport in the IOM is expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial m_{oi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{oi} \mathbf{q}_{oi}) = Q_{oi}$$

where $m_{oi}$ is the free gas content within the IOM, defined as $m_{oi} = \rho_{oi} \phi_{oi}$, $\rho_{oi}$ represents the density of free gas within the IOM defined as an ideal gas as $\rho_{oi} = \rho_{g} = M \rho_{g}/RT$, with $Q_{oi}$ representing a source term for gas transfer between OM and IOM. According to the theory from Kazemi et al. (1992), the transfer rate may be determined as:

$$Q_{oi} = \frac{\alpha_o k_i}{\mu} (p_i - p_{oi})$$

where $\alpha$ is the shape factor for the IOM defined as $\alpha = 8/L_i^2$ (Kazemi, 1969) in m$^2$, $L_i$ is the IOM spacing in m, $k_i$ is the apparent permeability within the kerogen, $p_i$ is the density of the solid kerogen. This formulation assumes that the IOM experiences only viscous and slip flows and that the PSD adheres to a fractal distribution of pore sizes. The apparent permeability model that is used may be expressed as (Tian et al., 2019):

$$k_{oi} = \frac{\pi}{128} \frac{\phi_{oi} D_{oi}}{3 + D_{oi} - D_{oi}} \left[ \frac{h_{max}^{1-D_{oi}}}{L_{oi}^{1-D_{oi}}} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{8 \phi_i (3 + D_{oi} - D_{oi})}{h_{max} (2 + D_{oi} - D_{oi})} \right] \frac{S \bar{R}_i T}{2M}$$

where $D_{oi}$ is the fractal dimension of the PSD in the IOM, defined as $D_{oi} = 2 - \ln(\phi_{oi})/\ln(h_{max}/h_{mxi})$, $h_{mxi}$ is the maximum pore diameter within the IOM and $D_{hi}$ is the fractal dimension of pore tortuosity in IOM. In Eq. (12), the flow length $L_{soi}$ of the REV for the two-dimensional case is defined as (Xu and Yu, 2008):

$$L_{soi} = \frac{\pi D_{ko} h_{max}^2}{4 \phi_o (2 - D_{ko})} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h_{max}}{h_{soi}} \right)^{2 - D_{ko}} \right]$$

The porosity of the IOM under effective stress can also be calculated as (Cui and Bustin, 2005):

$$\phi_{soi} = \exp \left( - c_o \left[ \sigma - \sigma_0 - (p_o - p_{soi}) \right] \right)$$

where $\phi_{soi}$ is the initial porosity of IOM, $c_o$ is the compressibility of the IOM, and $p_{soi}$ is the initial pore pressure in IOM.

The maximum pore diameter of the IOM ($h_{mxi}$) in Eq. (12) is determined from (Tian et al., 2019):

$$h_{mxi} = h_{mxi0} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha_o}{\phi_o} \left( \epsilon_o - \epsilon_{soi} \right)}{K_m} \right) \left[ \frac{2 - D_{hi} D_{hi} - 1 - \phi_{soi}}{2 - D_{hi} D_{hi} - 1 - \phi_o} \right]$$

where $h_{mxi0}$ is the initial value of the maximum pore diameter within the IOM, $D_{hi}$ is the fractal dimension under the acting effective stress and is correlated with IOM porosity and $\epsilon_o = \epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{22} + \epsilon_{33}$ denotes the volumetric strain of IOM. As there is no adsorption in the inorganic matrix (IOM), the adsorption-induced strain term is absent.

### 3.4. Formulation for gas flow within natural and hydraulic fractures

The network of discrete fractures is developed by randomly distributing NFs throughout the entire reservoir with lengths following a log-normal distribution. Hydraulic fracturing introduces HF s superimposed upon the NF network and increases connectivity between the primary fractures and induced secondary fractures. The pattern of the HFN is also assumed to conform to the dendritic fractal structure, as shown in Fig. 7. Both fracture length and aperture follow the fractal scaling law. The branching ratio used in this study is two, which means that $l_b = 2l_{b-1}$ and $d_k = 2d_{k-1}$. Both the NFN and HFN are assumed to be one-dimensional line conduits with aperture scaling with branch length.

Gas flux through the fracture conduit conforms to Darcy’s law for the fracture as (Cao et al., 2016b):

$$q_i = -\frac{k_f}{\mu} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_{f}$$

where $q_i$ is the rate of gas flow per unit thickness of the reservoir (2D) in m/s, $d_f$ is the fracture aperture in m, $k_f$ denotes the fracture permeability and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_{f}$ is the pressure gradient in the longitudinal direction. Mass conservation within the fracture requires that (Cao et al., 2016b):

$$d_f \frac{\partial (\rho_{f} \mathbf{p}_{f})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{f} \mathbf{q}) = 0$$

where $\rho_{f}$ is fracture porosity and $\mathbf{p}_{f}$ is gas density. As the reservoir is depleted, the permeability of the fracture network decreases concomitantly with the increase in effective stress, with the evolution of fracture permeability represented as (Cao et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2020):

$$k_f = k_{fo} \exp \left( - c_f \left( p_{fo} - p_{fo} \right) \right)$$

Fig. 7. Schematic illustration of a single multi-level branched HF.
where $k_0$ is the initial permeability of fractures and $c_0$ is the compressibility of fractures.

## 4. Model verification

The previous governing equations represent a multi-scale heterogeneous system accommodating gas flow and mechanical deformation coupled across all scales and solved using the generic PDE solver COMSOL Multiphysics. The fractal-based OM and IOM are treated as dual-continuum media, while the HFs and NFs are explicitly represented as 1D conduit elements. We verify the proposed model using two different cases. Firstly, to demonstrate the embedded fracture network does not alter the recovery fraction, we verify the model by exploring the evolution of gas recovery fraction for an ideal reservoir with small dimensions. After that, a history matching of gas production rate for a reservoir in the Barnett shale is performed to verify the robustness of the model. The parameters used for both verifications are presented in Table 1, which are from the literature (Cao et al., 2016b; Geng et al., 2018; Yu and Sephenoori, 2014).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Conceptual verification</th>
<th>Field verification</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir dimensions</td>
<td>40 × 20 × 5</td>
<td>550 × 145 × 90</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original pressure</td>
<td>34.5 × 10^5</td>
<td>20.34 × 10^5</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum horizontal stress</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum horizontal stress</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom hole pressure</td>
<td>2.4 × 10^6</td>
<td>3.69 × 10^6</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk modulus of IOM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk modulus of OM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity of gas</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir pressure</td>
<td>3 × 10^{10}</td>
<td>4.48 × 10^{10}</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir volume</td>
<td>2.5 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.72 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>m^2/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biot coefficient of OM</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial porosity of OM</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal dimension of PSD in OM</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal dimension of OM tortuosity</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum pore size of OM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum pore size of OM</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressibility of OM</td>
<td>7 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>7 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>Pa^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biot coefficient of IOM</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial porosity of IOM</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal dimension of PSD in IOM</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal dimension of IOM tortuosity</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressibility of IOM</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>Pa^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum pore size of IOM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum pore size of IOM</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM spacing</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressibility of NFs</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-9}</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>Pa^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressibility of HFs</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-9}</td>
<td>5 × 10^{-9}</td>
<td>Pa^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial NF permeability</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>mD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF width</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of NFs</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF width</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of primary HFs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcate angle</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching ratio</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching level</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic fracture spacing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial IF permeability</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>mD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-length of primary HF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>m^2/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.1. Verification of recovery fraction for an ideal reservoir

The flow model and mechanical model used for the verification of recovery fraction (RF) are illustrated in Fig. 8 (a) and Fig. 8 (b), respectively. It can noted that the NFN is not incorporated into the mechanical model. The model dimensions are 40m long, 20m wide, and 5m thick. The maximum horizontal stress (40 MPa) and minimum horizontal stress (37 MPa) are applied to the upper and right-side boundaries, respectively, with the facing boundaries with roller constraints. It should be noted that the fracture networks are not incorporated into calculating the evolution of stress filed. The intersection between the horizontal well and the primary hydraulic fractures are set at a bottom-hole pressure of 3.69 MPa. The other boundaries are set as no-flow boundaries. The initial reservoir pressure is 20.34 MPa. The fractal dimension of the OM and IOM are set as 1.3. There are a total of 400 NFs with a mean length of 4 m and variance of 1 m^2. All other parameters used in this verification are as listed in Table 1. The pressure difference between the reservoir and the horizontal well prompts gas recovery from the shale matrix to the wellbore. We performed three modelling cases: (1) a base case with NFs and induced secondary HFs, (2) a case without the induced secondary HFs (only the primary HFs are considered in the simulation), and (3) a case without NFs. As shown in Fig. 9, the recovery factors (RFs) for the three scenarios all eventually approach 0.7. This illustrates that the presence of NFs and HFs does not impact ultimate gas production but does affect the rate of recovery – production is significantly faster with NFs and HFs. The reason for this phenomenon is that the one-dimensional fracture networks does not alter the final porosity when the equilibrium state is achieved. For the base case, it takes 600 days to reach the ultimate gas production but 1600 days for the case without secondary HFs and 3000 days for the case without HFs, respectively.

### 4.2. Verification of gas production rate for barnett shale reservoir

Barnett shale has developed NFNs, which has been investigated in previous studies (Gale et al., 2014; Yaghoubi, 2019). Besides, Fan and Ettehadatvakkol (2017) upscaled the microseismic data of fracture network and found the induced fracture network can be described with fractal approach effectively. In addition to the induced hydraulic fractures, the pore size in Barnett shale matrix also exhibits fractal law (Ruppert et al., 2013). Accordingly, the proposed model is verified against field production data from the Barnett shale (Yu and Sephenoori, 2014). A single quadrant of the reservoir is selected to take advantage of the symmetry. A plan views of the flow and mechanical model with a dimension of 550m × 145m × 90m are presented in Fig. 10 (a) and Fig. 10 (b). There are 500 natural fractures in the entire domain with a mean length of 40m and variance of 100 m^2. In situ stress is applied to the upper and right boundaries as 40 MPa and 37 MPa respectively with an initial reservoir pressure of 20.34 MPa. The specific parameters used in the simulation are provided in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 11, the gas production rates derived from the numerical simulations of the Barnett shale were compared with the corresponding field data. It can be observed that a decline in the gas production rates of the Barnett shale varies from 2.5 × 10^{-3} m^3/day to 1.6 × 10^{-3} m^3/day over a production period of 1600 days – correlating strongly with field observations. Gas pressure distribution maps for the IOM after production periods of 100 days, one year, and ten years are presented in Fig. 12. In the first 100 days of gas production, the drainage area is primarily limited in the SRV and the gas pressure near the HFs exhibits a significant decline. By contrast, when the production period reaches 1 year, the gas pressure in the SRV is reduced to a half and the drainage area expands to the USRV. After 10 years, the gas in the SRV is almost fully depleted while the gas pressure in USRV is reduced to approximately half. Drainage of the gas in the USRV then proceeds over a much longer time than that in SRV.
5. Impact of multiscale heterogeneities

The impacts of multiscale heterogeneities on the evolution of permeability and gas production may also be evaluated. We expand the numerical models used in the RF verification by ×10 to field scale with dimensions of 400 (length) × 200 (width) × 50 (thickness) m$^3$, as shown in Fig. 13 (a) and Fig. 13 (b). The boundary conditions are identical to Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. Model verification of RF: (a) Flow model; (b) Mechanical model and boundary conditions.](image)

![Fig. 9. Evolution of RFs for the three cases.](image)

![Fig. 10. Geometric model and boundary conditions for field verification.](image)

![Fig. 11. History matching of Barnett shale production rate based on the proposed model.](image)

![Fig. 12. IOM gas pressure distribution for Barnett shale for various production times: (a) 100 days; (b) 1 year; (c) 10 years.](image)
the prototype model in Section 4. The model includes the NF networks and fractal tree-like HF networks. The base case comprises a total of 400 NFs, with a mean length of 40m and variance of 100 m². The HFN consists of seven primary fractures, with a branch level of four and a bifurcation ratio of 40. The spacing between the adjacent HFs is 50m. The zone below the multi-level HFs is the SRV with the zone above the HFs as USRV. The modelling parameters are listed in Table 2. All other parameters are identical to the parameters used in the field verification.

5.1 Impact of OM heterogeneity on apparent permeability and flow regime

To illustrate the impacts of OM heterogeneity on apparent permeability and flow regime, various values of fractal dimension are adopted ($D_{ho} = 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4$ and 1.5) for simulation and sensitivity analyses. Point A (40m, 40m) is selected as the representative point to demonstrate the results, as indicated in Fig. 13 (a). As shown in Fig. 14 (a), the apparent permeability of the OM increases with a decrease in pore pressure – as the desorption-induced pore shrinkage counteracts the increase of compressibility. In addition, the growth of Knudsen diffusion and surface diffusion-contributed permeability also enhances the apparent permeability. According to Fig. 14 (b), the relative contribution of viscous flow to gas recovery decreases as the reservoir pore pressure drops. When the pressure is reduced to 10 MPa, the contribution of viscous flow to total recovery falls below 50 %. An increase in fractal dimensions of the OM PSD corresponds to an increasingly lower viscous flow to total recovery. An increase in fractal dimensions of the OM PSD result in a larger contribution of Knudsen diffusion due to the decreasing average pore radius. Therefore, it can be concluded that when gas pressure declines, Knudsen diffusion begins to dominate the apparent permeability represented by the shale nanopores. The larger fractal dimensions of the OM PSD indicates higher contribution ratios from Knudsen diffusion and lower contribution ratios from viscous flow, - again, due to the decrease in average pore radius.

5.2 Stress sensitivity of shale reservoir

5.2.1 Impacts of compressibility on permeability evolution

Compressibility of shale matrix and fracture network exhibits a pivotal role in shale deformation, thereby affecting shale permeability and gas production rate. Experiments demonstrate that rock fracture compressibility is far larger than that of rock matrix (Zhang et al., 2019). In practical situation, due to the proppant in hydraulic fractures, the compressibility of hydraulic fracture is smaller than that of natural fracture network (Tan et al., 2019). To investigate the impacts of compressibility of OM ($c_{om}$), compressibility of IOM ($c_{im}$), compressibility of NFN ($c_{nf}$) and compressibility of HFN ($c_{hf}$) on permeability evolution, various values have been adopted to conduct sensitivity analysis, as shown in Fig. 15 (a)-(d). For the OM system, when $c_{om}$ increases from $7 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa$^{-1}$ to $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$, the permeability ratio drops from 1.28 to 1.26 when the production time is 30 years, indicating that higher $c_{om}$ results in decrease of OM permeability. Moreover, as displayed in Fig. 15 (b), when $c_{im}$ increases from $5 \times 10^{-11}$ Pa$^{-1}$ to $3 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa$^{-1}$, the IOM permeability ratio decreases from 0.868 to 0.877. By contrast, permeability ratios of NFN and HFN show 15 % and 10 % decline when $c_{nf}$ and $c_{hf}$ are raised from $3 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa$^{-1}$ to $9 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and $c_{hf}$ is raised from $2 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa$^{-1}$ to $8 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa$^{-1}$. It can be concluded that increase of compressibility results in the decrease of permeability because shale is more easily compressed when shale is subjected to effective stress. All the four hierarchical systems (OM, IOM, NFNs and HFNs) are sensitive to the variation of compressibility. Compared with the shale matrix consisting of OM and IOM, HFNs and HFNs are more sensitive to the variation of compressibility. It should be noted that the compressibility of shale matrix is affected by multiple factors like pore structures and mineral compositions. Particularly, those clay-rich shale samples have larger IOM compressibility than those samples that contain a higher percentage of quartz and feldspars (Josh et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2012). Therefore, the permeability ratio of IOM can experience larger fluctuation when compressibility varies.

5.2.2 Evolution of shale deformation

Gas depletion from shale reservoir increases effective stress and compaction of shale solid skeleton. However, gas desorption can induce shale matrix shrinkage. These two competitive effects decide the shale deformation jointly. The evolution of shale deformation can be illustrated through the variation of time-dependent volumetric strain. According to Eq. (1), volumetric strain is comprised of three components,

![Fig. 13. Schematic of physical geometry and boundary conditions used in modelling.](image-url)
which are OM pressure-induced strain ($\varepsilon_{k}$v), IOM pressure-induced strain ($\varepsilon_{m}$v) and desorption-induced shrinkage strain ($\varepsilon_{s}$v), respectively. These strains are expressed in the following equations (Lu et al., 2021):

$$\varepsilon_{k} = \frac{\alpha_{k} \Delta p}{K}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (19)

$$\varepsilon_{m} = \frac{\alpha_{m} \Delta p}{K}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (20)

$$\varepsilon_{s} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_{s}}{K}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (21)

As shown in Fig. 16, all the three attributes of volumetric strain ($\varepsilon_{k}$v, $\varepsilon_{m}$v and $\varepsilon_{s}$v) increase with production time. When the production time is 30 years, $\varepsilon_{k}$v, $\varepsilon_{m}$v and $\varepsilon_{s}$v are $9 \times 10^{-5}$, $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ and $1.29 \times 10^{-3}$ respectively, which indicates that the IOM pressure-induced strain plays the most important role in shale deformation because of the larger pressure drop and effective stress coefficient. By contrast, the desorption-induced strain plays a marginal role in shale deformation.

5.3. Impact of OM and IOM heterogeneity on gas production

The influence of the fractal dimension and pore diameter on gas production for the OM and IOM are now investigated. For the OM, five sets of fractal dimensions ($D_{ho} = 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4$ and 1.5) and minimum pore diameters ($h_{\text{min}, \text{o}} = 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5$ and 3 nm) are selected to perform sensitivity and parametric analyses. Fig. 18 (a) and Fig. 18 (b) present the cumulative gas production levels from the OM for various fractal dimensions and minimum pore diameters. When the fractal dimension increases from 1.1 to 1.5 (the minimum pore diameter is fixed as 1 nm), the cumulative gas production increases from $6.5 \times 10^{7}$ m$^{3}$ to $7.4 \times 10^{7}$ m$^{3}$ over a production period of 30 years - corresponding to a 12 % growth. When the fractal dimension ($D_{ho} = 1.3$) and the corresponding porosity ($\phi_{o} = 0.065$) remain constant, the variation in minimum pore diameter significantly affects the average pore diameter. As shown in Fig. 18 (b), when the minimum pore diameter of the OM is 1 nm, the cumulative gas production reaches $6.95 \times 10^{7}$ m$^{3}$. By contrast, when the minimum pore diameter increases to 3 nm, cumulative gas production increases by 8.9 % to reach $7.63 \times 10^{7}$ m$^{3}$. The fractal dimension of the OM PSD correlates to its porosity and pore diameter. A larger fractal dimension indicates a broader spectrum of PSD and larger porosity. When the maximum and minimum pore diameter are both fixed a larger fractal dimension contributes to more small pores. Consideration of the PSD in production forecasting is necessary to improve the accuracy of the prediction.

For the IOM, five sets of fractal dimensions ($D_{hi} = 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4$ and 1.5) are employed to study the corresponding influences on gas production. Pore sizes in the IOM span a range of several orders-of-magnitude and are observed to typically be larger than that of OM.
Therefore, minimum pore diameters ranging from 1 nm to 5 nm are considered in the simulation. As shown in Fig. 19 (a), a larger fractal dimension correlates to higher levels of cumulative gas production. When the fractal dimension of the IOM PSD increases from 1.1 to 1.5, the cumulative gas production increases from $5.1 \times 10^7$ m$^3$ to $9.1 \times 10^7$ m$^3$, displaying a significant growth of 78%. At the same time, when the maximum pore diameter of the IOM increases from the base case to 500 nm, the cumulative gas production increases by 33%, as shown in Fig. 19 (b). Compared to the experimental observations for the OM, the fractal dimension and minimum pore diameters of the IOM exert a greater impact on gas production from the IOM than the OM. Thus, one can conclude that the major control on gas recovery is the permeability of the IOM. If the fractal dimension of the IOM PSD is not considered, a significant underestimate in the final gas production level may result.

5.4. Impact of NFs on gas production

The stochastic distribution of NFs is one of the prominent heterogeneities evident at the macroscale. We investigate the impact of NF density (NF number) on gas production based on four NFN groups (200, 300, 400 and 500 natural fractures). Fig. 20 presents the IOM pressure distribution for simulations with the four groups over a production period of 30 years. When the number of NFs increases, the range of matrix pressures narrows - suggesting that higher fracture densities facilitate accelerated gas depletion. As shown in Fig. 21 (a), when the number of NFs increases from 200 to 500, the cumulative gas production increases from $6.4 \times 10^7$ m$^3$ to $7.3 \times 10^7$ m$^3$ - a ~12% increment. We may also compare the significance of NFNs to the evolution of IOM porosity, as shown in Fig. 21 (b). It can be noted that the IOM porosity declines with gas depletion because of the decreased reservoir pressure and increased effective stress. When the production time is 30 years, the IOM porosity decreases from 0.4 to 0.395. Moreover, higher fracture densities increase the gas production rate, which results in more rapid
5. Impact of HF distribution on gas production

The presence of artificial hydraulic fracture networks is another hierarchical structural heterogeneity at macroscale that potentially affects gas production. The primary HF and the induced secondary fractures are characterized as a branched dendritic network. The primary controlling factors are the branching levels (1, 2, 3 and 4 in this study) and bifurcation angles (40°, 60°, 80° and 100° in this study). It should be noted that the branching levels and the bifurcation ratio of the generated HFs are concurrently controlled by the orientation of principal stresses and properties of the reservoir (Hossain et al., 2000). This study uses a fractal ratio of two, which implies that fracture lengths and diameter ratios decrease progressively in steps of one-half. Fig. 22 presents the matrix pressure distributions for the four groups with varying fracture levels over a production time of 120 days. Fig. 23 (a) demonstrates that cumulative gas production increases with an increase in fracture level. This can be attributed to the fact that multi-level HFs enlarge the SRV and facilitate gas desorption and outflows from that reservoir. When the number of HFs increases from one to four, the cumulative gas production increases from $5.4 \times 10^7$ m$^3$ to $6.9 \times 10^7$ m$^3$, corresponding to an increment of 29%.

Bifurcation angles define the propagation direction of the HFs. The surface map of IOM pressure over a production period of 120 days is presented in Fig. 24. The impacts of the bifurcation angle on gas production are shown in Fig. 23 (b). When the angle increases from 40° to 100°, the cumulative gas production decreases. This phenomenon occurs mainly due to the decrease in the size of the SRV in the vertical direction. More than that, when the bifurcation ratio is larger than 40°, secondary fractures between adjacent HFNs become interconnected, resulting in strong fracture interference and thereby decreasing the gas productivity (Yu et al., 2014). A detailed evaluation of the impacts of fracture interference on gas productivity warrants further investigation.
Fig. 19. Impacts of the fractal dimension of PSD and minimum pore diameters in IOM on gas production.

Fig. 20. IOM pressure distribution for various number of NFs.

Fig. 21. Impacts of fracture density of NFs on cumulative gas production.
6. Conclusions

A fully coupled model of gas flow and shale deformation is developed to quantify the impacts of multiscale heterogeneities on gas production. A mechanical equilibrium equation is applied to the whole reservoir system. The matrix permeability is dependent on the effective stress and matrix swelling while the fracture permeability is pressure-dependent. Heterogeneous pore size distributions within both organic and inorganic matter are characterized at the microscale using a fractal approach, while the fracture networks at the macroscale are represented explicitly. Modelling results demonstrate that heterogeneities at all scales are important but their roles differ significantly during gas production:

1. For the organic matter (OM), higher fractal dimensions denote a larger porosity with more smaller pores. Model results show that the relative contribution of overall production due to surface diffusion and Knudsen diffusion increases with the fractal dimension of the organic matter when the gas pressure is low. However, this heterogeneous impact decreases when the gas pressure is high.

2. The inorganic matter (IOM) provides both storage sites for gas and conduits for its flow once it is released from the organic matter. Model results show that the fractal dimension and range between maximum and minimum pore sizes affect gas production, and that variations in maximum and minimum pore diameter can cause significant fluctuations in permeability and production rate.

3. Natural fractures act as primary passages for gas released from both the organic and inorganic matter. Model results show that both the natural fracture density and the evolution of individual fracture permeability affect gas production rate, and that their impact on gas production is significant throughout the entire production process.

4. Hydraulic fractures collect gas released from all sources in series (organic and inorganic matters, and natural fractures) and...
transport it to the production well. Model results show that the fractal branched dendritic networks are much more effective in capturing and transmitting gas than unbranched straight fractures, and that the role of the number of hydraulic fractures is also controlled by the gas supplied from the organic matter, inorganic matter, and natural fractures.
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Appendix A. Derivation of the apparent permeability of OM

The pore size in the shale matrix varies from nanometres to micrometres, which complicates the gas flow mechanisms in the tortuous flow channels. In this study, the pore size distribution (PSD) in shale is assumed following fractal theory, the cumulative number of pores \(N\) is defined as (Yu and Li, 2001):

\[
N(H \geq h) = \left(\frac{h_{\text{max}}}{h}\right)^{D_h}(A1)
\]

where the \(h_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum pore diameter, \(D_h\) is the fractal dimension of PSD. The infinitesimal increment of pore number is expressed as:

\[
dN = D_h(h_{\text{max}})^{D_h} h^{-(D_h+1)} dh
\]

The area of pore space for the cross-section can be determined by integrating all of the pores:

\[
A_p = -\int_{h_{\text{min}}}^{h_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{4} \pi h^2 dN = \int_{h_{\text{min}}}^{h_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{4} \pi h^2 D_h h_{\text{max}}^{D_h} h^{-(D_h+1)} dh = \frac{\pi D_h h_{\text{max}}^2}{4(2 - D_h)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{h_{\text{min}}}{h_{\text{max}}}\right)^{2-D_h}\right]
\]

where the \(h_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum pore diameter, the cross-section area of the REV is derived as:

\[
A = \frac{A_p}{\phi} = \frac{\pi D_h h_{\text{max}}^2}{4\phi(2 - D_h)} (1 - \phi)
\]

The tortuous flow length of the corresponding pore diameter \(h\) is given as (Yu and Li, 2001):

\[
L_T = L_{\text{DI}} h^{1-D_h}
\]
where the \( L_T \) is the real flow length, \( L_0 \) is the length of the REV, \( D_T \) is the fractal dimension of tortuosity. The tortuosity of the pores is defined as the ratio of true flow length and straight flow length:

\[
\tau = \left( \frac{L_0}{L_T} \right)^{D_T-1} \tag{A6}
\]

The total gas flux through the shale matrix is typically a combination of viscous fraction and diffusion fraction. The apparent permeability of a single nanopore is expressed as (Cao et al., 2016b):

\[
k = k_v + k_d + k_s = \frac{q_0 \rho h^3}{\tau} + \frac{\rho h^3 \mu \phi}{\tau} + \frac{8\pi R T}{\pi M} \left( \frac{D_{v,0} C_{\text{m,RT}}}{(p_s + P_l)^2} \right) \rho \Delta p \tag{A7}
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is the kerogen porosity, \( h \) is the pore diameter, \( D_v \) is the surface diffusion coefficient, \( C_{\text{m}} \) is the maximum adsorbed gas concentration in unit volume of kerogen, which is defined as (Cao et al., 2016a):

\[
C_{\text{m}} = \frac{V_s}{M} \tag{A8}
\]

Combined A (6) and A (7), the total molar flux considering the viscous flow, Knudsen diffusion and surface diffusion in a nanopore can be written as:

\[
J_i = J_v + J_d + J_s = \frac{q_0 \rho h^3}{128 \mu} \frac{\Delta p}{L_T} + \frac{2\pi R T}{\mu M} \frac{\rho h^3 \Delta p}{L_T} + \frac{D_{v,0} C_{\text{m,RT}}}{(p_s + P_l)^2} \rho \Delta p
\]

\[
\tag{A9}
\]

where the \( L_T \) is the tortuous flow length. Since the pores are fractally distributed in OM, the total gas flux through the OM can be integrated as:

\[
Q = Q_v + Q_d + Q_s = \int_{h_{\text{max}}}^{h_{\text{min}}} (J_v + J_d + J_s) dN \tag{A10}
\]

Substituting Eq. (A9) into (A10), the total molar flux of each flow regime can be obtained as:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_v &= \frac{\pi}{128 \mu} q_0 D_{v,0} h_{\max}^{1+D_v} \Delta p \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h_{\min}}{h_{\max}} \right)^{1+D_v} \right] \\
Q_d &= \frac{2\pi R T}{M} \frac{\rho h^3 \Delta p}{L_T} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h_{\min}}{h_{\max}} \right)^{2+D_v-D_0} \right] \\
Q_s &= \frac{D_{v,0} C_{\text{m,RT}}}{(p_s + P_l)^2} \frac{\rho h^3 \Delta p}{L_T} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h_{\min}}{h_{\max}} \right)^{1+D_v-D_0} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Combined with Eq. (A11) and Darcy law \( Q = AK \Delta p / L_0 \), the apparent permeability of each flow regime is expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
k_v &= \frac{\pi}{128} q_0 D_{v,0} h_{\max}^{1+D_v} L_0^{1+D_v} \\
k_d &= \frac{2\pi R T}{M} \frac{\rho h^3 \Delta p}{L_T} \left( 2 + D_T - D_0 \right) 6\rho h L_0^{2+D_v} \\
k_s &= \frac{D_{v,0} C_{\text{m,RT}}}{(p_s + P_l)^2} \frac{\mu D_{\text{m}}}{(2 + D_T - D_0) L_0^{2+D_v}} \mu D_{\text{m}} \left( 2 + D_T - D_0 \right) L_0^{2+D_v}
\end{align*}
\]

Then, it yields the fractal-based apparent permeability for shale OM:

\[
k = \frac{\pi}{128} D_{\text{m}} h_{\max}^{1+D_v} L_0^{1+D_v} + \frac{2\pi R T}{M} \frac{\mu D_{\text{m}}}{(2 + D_T - D_0) L_0^{2+D_v}} + \frac{D_{v,0} C_{\text{m,RT}}}{(p_s + P_l)^2} \frac{\mu D_{\text{m}}}{(2 + D_T - D_0) L_0^{2+D_v}} \left( 2 + D_T - D_0 \right) L_0^{2+D_v} \tag{A13}
\]
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